Expert Judging Criteria



Judging ID Number: 02EJ-0)	_
Team Number of Entry 02	-02	
Design Category of Entry:	Green Street - 6th Street	

<u>Judges' Comments:</u> This Entry develops a comparative prairie-open range – grasslands concept that is striking as a design concept and a branding concept. It provides excellent opportunity to educate public; and, it offers subtle variations along the path that are fundamental to "place-making."

The consideration for phased financial burden is a proven concept and should be viable here.

The use of local quotations is a good presentation technique. The plans do not clearly relate to the elevations & perspectives (i.e. in showing green space relative to paved areas).

The Entry directs runoff very quickly to collection. It would mimic natural systems better to increase treatment/filtration at the source & surface. It did not address seasonal impacts (both typical and extreme). And, while it emphasized a visual connection, it lacked adequate attention to the physical connection to Centennial Park (and possibly TU). What is the transition? Is there a link with the bike path? Do wehicles pass through or do they park and stay?

Expert Judging Criteria

•	How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and filtering storm water?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management
	Practices (IMP) working as a system to: Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and matching pre-development hydrology?
	8 of10 points
	 Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment infrastructure?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?
	7 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your prescribed LID techniques?
	7 of 15 points
•	How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage?
	5 of 5 points
•	Does the team's design adequately compare the costs of LID versus conventinal design? Is their design a better investment, in your opinion, than the conventional design?
	9 of 10 points
	Total Points Accumulated:76 out of 100