Expert Judging Criteria



<u>Judg</u> i	ing II) Nu	mbe	r:	01EJB										
<u>Team</u>	Nun	<u>nber</u>	of I	<u>Entry 0</u>	<u>1-02</u>										
<u>Desig</u>	gn Ca	tegoi	ry of	f Entry:	Mixed	l Use-Elm	Ridg	<u>e</u>							
										compliance					
space	that	was	exl	hibited	in the	other entr	y thus	s providir	ıg grea	ater potenti	al ru	noff (control, l	feel th	is entry
can	prov	ide	a	quality	y and	quantity	of of	control	and	filtering	of	the	storm	water	events

Expert Judging Criteria

•	How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and filtering storm water?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management Practices (IMP) working as a system to: O Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and matching pre-development hydrology?
	8 of 10 points
	• Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment infrastructure?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your prescribed LID techniques?
	10 of 15 points
•	How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?
	10 of 10 points
•	How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage ?
	5 of 5 points
	Total Points Accumulated:86 out of 100

Expert Judging Criteria

	10 of 10 po