Expert Judging Criteria



Judging ID Number: OZEJ-B

Team Number of Entry 02-01

Design Category of Entry: GREED RODDWDY

Judges' Comments: THE PLAN ENCOMPASSES MANY LID FLATURES

AND CREAK BEDEFICIAL USES FOR SOORM WORDE RUNDER, IT

POES NOT BOTTOM AND CODE ISSUED. I BELIEVE, IF IMPLEMENTED

THIS WOULD BE A BEATE DESIGN THAN CONFUNDABLE

Total Points Accumulated: 57_out of 100

- **Expert Judging Criteria**
- How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and 0 filtering storm water?
- How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management . Practices (IMP) working as a system to:
 - Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and 0 matching pre-development hydrology?
 - Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment 0 infrastructure?
- How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and . promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?
- How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and . where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?
- How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID ۲ practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?
- How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your . prescribed LID techniques?
- How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/ civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?
- How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage ? .
- Does the team's design adequately compare the costs of LID versus conventinal design? Is their design a better investment, in your opinion, than the conventional design?

Total Points Accumulated: 57 out of 100

6 of 10 points

4 of 10 points

3 of 10 points

9 of 10 points

8 of 10 points

7 of 10 points

l of 15 points

6 of 10 points

5 of 5 points

8 of 10 points

