Expert Judging Criteria



Judging ID Number: 03EJ-C
Team Number of Entry Team 03-02
Design Category of Entry: Urban Redevelopment - Barnard Trace
<u>Judges' Comments:</u> . Provide rainfall information for site, soil types and challenges. Will utilize th
existing infrastructure & areas of street surface. Provide LID practices (pervious pavement & cisterns; trees
for home lots and streets. Provide cost comparison with good detail - LID construction upfront cost approx
\$70,000 greater; 12.3 year payback; no specific code impediments described.

Expert Judging Criteria

•	How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and filtering storm water?
	7 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management Practices (IMP) working as a system to: O Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and matching pre-development hydrology?
	7 of 10 points
	• Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment infrastructure?
	10 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?
	5 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your prescribed LID techniques?
	7 of 15 points
•	How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?
	6 of 10 points
•	How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage?
	5 of 5 points
•	Does the team's design adequately compare the costs of LID versus conventinal design? Is their design a better investment, in your opinion, than the conventional design?
	7 of 10 points
	Total Points Accumulated:71 out of 100