## **Expert Judging Criteria**



Judging ID Number:	01EJ-G
Team Number of Entry	Team 01-01
•	
Design Category of Entry:	Mixed Use
Judges' Comments:	

Team No. 1

Presentation graphics were acceptable, editing could be improved – I noticed several typographic errors. Also, I question if the sides with the green background and white letters would be legible if projected. (I could only look at on a computer screen)

Strengths of the presentation:

The information providing a quantitative analysis of water quality benefits

Comparison of items required for LID vs conventional and cost estimate

Methodology was named for some of the analyses of runoff rate and volume

## **Expert Judging Criteria**

•	How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and filtering storm water?
	8 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management Practices (IMP) working as a system to:
	<ul> <li>Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and matching pre-development hydrology?</li> </ul>
	9 of 10 points
	Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment infrastructure?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?
	9 of 10 points
•	How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?
	5 of 10 points
•	How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?
	4 of 10 points
•	How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your prescribed LID techniques?
	8 of 15 points
•	How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?
	5 of 10 points
•	How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage?
	2 of 5 points
•	Does the team's design adequately compare the costs of LID versus conventinal design? Is their design a better investment, in your opinion, than the conventional design?
	_7 of 10 points
	Total Points Accumulated: _66 out of 100