

Expert Judging Criteria



Judging ID Number: 01EJB

Team Number of Entry 01-01

Design Category of Entry: Mixed Use-Elm Ridge

Judges' Comments: I felt this entry would provide the greatest level of natural runoff and control due to its less density layout and design, however I felt that it did not comply with the program and developers mix of a variety of property uses as well as the other entry.

Total Points Accumulated: 84 out of 100

Expert Judging Criteria

- How well does this site conserve natural resources that provide natural functions associated with controlling and filtering storm water?

___9__ of 10 points

- How well does this site use decentralized, small-scale landscape features and LID Integrated Management Practices (IMP) working as a system to:
 - Reduce the amount of runoff by mimicking the natural hydrologic function of the site and matching pre-development hydrology?

___9__ of 10 points

 - Minimize the use of and/or reduce the size of pipe and other centralized control and treatment infrastructure?

___9__ of 10 points

- How well does this site minimize and disconnect impervious surfaces, lengthen time of concentration and promote bio-filtration of runoff to improve the quality of storm water leaving the site?

___9__ of 10 points

- How well does this site minimize or eliminate the use of potable water resources needed for irrigation and where practical provide for the reuse of rainwater?

___9__ of 10 points

- How well does this site use enhanced quality of life values and reduced maintenance costs inherent in LID practices to increase marketability of the development and long-term property values?

___8__ of 10 points

- How well does this site correctly identify current codes that prohibit the construction or implementation of your prescribed LID techniques?

___10__ of 15 points

- How well does this site address the aspects of your area of expertise in architecture, landscape architecture, hydrology/hydraulics/civil engineering, stormwater quality, or planning/development/consulting?

___8__ of 10 points

- How well do the team's submitted materials address grammar, editing, appearance, and verbiage ?

___4__ of 5 points

Total Points Accumulated: 84 out of 100

Expert Judging Criteria

- Does the team's design adequately compare the costs of LID versus conventional design? Is their design a better investment, in your opinion, than the conventional design?

___9___ of 10 points

Total Points Accumulated: __84__ out of 100